P18

Previous references

L 7 210 - 1893

1904 (municipal)

File No. 605—01

Serial Nos. 1—5

Later references

ML 151 - 05

ML 162 - 1905

Genl 162 - 1485 - 14 Sept 1905

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—5 ✓

Part B for August 1905

SUBJECT.

Taxation of the Madras Ry. Premises within the Bangalore City — Mun[illegible]

FILE No Ml 605 of 1904-05

Taxation of the Madras Railway buildings within the Bangalore City Municipal limits.

1 From the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, No 466, dated 20th May 1905
Reports that the Madras Railway Company object to pay house tax on their buildings within the City Municipal limits on the ground that the City Railway Station is not within the jurisdiction of the Bangalore City Municipality

2 From the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, No. 473, dated 6th June 1905
Invites attention to Serial No 1 with reference to Government Proceedings No 11042—L. F 210-93, dated 31st May 1905

3 To the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. 35, dated 14th July 1905
Requests him to ascertain from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, the grounds of objection on the part of the Company to pay the house tax on the buildings within the Bangalore City Municipal limits.

4 From the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore, No 48, dated 1st—2nd August 1905
Reports that the proposal of the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company to pay the arrears of lighting and water taxes for the years 1900-01 to 1903-04, at the lump sum rate of Rs. 900 a year which has been settled for a period of ten years from 1904-05 was not accepted.

G R 5 To the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City No 1714, dated 16th August 1905.
Observes in the circumstances therein detailed that the President is not right in asking for more for past years.

G R S 211 1904-05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mamb.)

NOTES

File No 605-02

File Heading

Location of the Madras Railway buildings with the Bangalore City Municipal limits

From

The President, Mamb Commrs., Bangalore City

No 466 Dated 30 5 05 Received 2 6 1905

Diary No 8260 | Enclos / | Spare Copies — | Serial No /

Brief Abstract of Letter

Reports that the Madras Railway Company object to pay House-tax on their buildings in the City, the ground that the City Railway Station is not the jurisdiction of the City Municipality

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Madras Railway Company have agreed to pay a lump sum of Rs 900/- to the Municipality annually, in return for the services rendered to them under the heads "water supply" and "lighting" &c &c

Notes—First sheet

MGCPL— 0000—17-8-05

S.12]
General and Revenue [redacted]retariat

ml File No 605 of 1904

Serial No

paying for excess water as also for the special Conservancy Cess (vide 1st Asstt Rests letter No 2869 dated the 22nd May 1905) They, however, object to pay the House-tax they were hitherto paying without demur, on the ground that the City Municipality has no jurisdiction on the City Railways Station Both Sir Donald Robertson and Sir James Bourdillon are of this opinion ~~But~~ The Dewan has, however, held that the Municipality can levy the House-tax under the Govt of India Notfn No 136 dated the 5th April 1893, that tax having been enforced in 1881-82 for the first time ie long before the passing of the Railway Act of 1890 This view of the Dewan was communicated to the Resident in more than once but the Residency held that the City Municipal Regulations were not applicable to the City Station Submitted for orders with reference to Mal. Proc 2 of 10/6/05 The recent Contract does not include the Ordinary Conservancy & repairs of roads leading to the station

also cess as per Mal. Proc 2 of 10/6/05 to be made in (Hap 2) Sch when there are no bodies -

3 Submitted to the Dewan Bd
Submitted thro the 2nd Councillor 12/6/05
for orders 15 6 1905

Contd 27/56/11 Silent 15 Sept 119 05

GPB— 0,000—17.2.0

Serial No

1 The house tax is an old tax, that a new one is proposed to be put back as 1881/82, & was being paid without demur for fully two decades ~~for~~ subsequent years after the transfer of jurisdiction - the transfer has not affected the Board of the usual charges on account of Conservancy, Maintenance, Drainage - The objection was in regard only to lighting & water rates & these have since been compounded - The house tax came in incidentally, and should I submit be made the subject of a further communication to the President

16/6/05

16/6/05

5 The only plea I would urge grounds of delay on the part of the Railway - Draft table submitted until VII 05

252/6 Mr Khan III

for approval 3-7-1905

MGC PB- 10000—17 2 05

Serial No	
	7. Draft letter submitted Mad Dr C 4/7 4/7/05
20/5-7-05	8 Draft submitted to the Secretary to the Council 4/7/05
7522/1405	9 The draft seems suitable 4/7/05
1	10 Letter No. Pol 35 dated the 14th July 1905 to the Great North. Rly Co. stating that the house tax imposed so far back as 1881-82 is not a new levy and the transfer of the City Railway possession to British Govt. does not relieve the Municipality of its usual charges on account of the Conservancy, Maintenance and repairs of roads leading to the Railway Station and the quarters occupied by the Railway officials and requesting, in such circumstances, that the grounds of objection on the part of the Railway Co. to continue to pay the House tax may be ascertained

Note—Contin sheet.

Serial No 2

11 Letter no 42 dated the 12th Aug. 1903 from the President

Reports for the information of Govt that the proposal of the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company to pay the arrears of lighting and water taxes for the years 1900-01 to 1902-03 at the lump sum rate of Rs 900/- a year recently settled for a period of only ten years commencing from 1902-03 was not accepted

12 The difference between the demand and the offer is ——

Municipal Demand = Rs 4235-4-4
Company's offer = Rs 3150-0-0
Difference = Rs 1085-4-4

~~The question is whether~~ the Agent and Manager is not justified in asking the Municipality to give retrospective effect to the arrangement recently arrived at in regard to payment for water and light. A reference from the Residency may perhaps be required —

Submitted for order [illegible] the Dewan Sahib [illegible] the Accountant General

Malony 7/8 8/8/03 8 8 1903

20/8-8-03

13 The Company deem it [illegible] addressed the Resident in the matter

E—Contn sheet

G.I.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

ml File No 605 of 1904

Serial No

Cant we have not yet heard from the Collr. A part from this, there is the fact that the Company's liability in regard to Lighting & water charges was in controversy during the period in question and that it was only recently that that dispute was settled by a compromise. I don't think the question need be reopened as it appeared that the compromise can strictly & legally have any retrospective effect & cannot be given effect to retrospectively. A sum had to be arbitrarily fixed. I don't think the President is right in asking for more for past years & thus reopening the question. C

8989/10 8 05

C 10/8/1905 605-5 9/8/05-

Put up

Draft and [illegible] 11 VIII 05

Draft order submitted 12 8.1205

Read by Mr [illegible] 16/8

Note.—Contn sheet

MGOPD—50,000 17-2-0.

17 Passed C 17 8.1205

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

ML File No 603 of 1905

Serial No 5

18. Cypels Progs: No 1714 dated the 10th August 1905

Observes that in the circumstances therein detailed the President is not right in asking for more for each years

MGCPB—50,000 17 2 0.

B M 109]

R D6260 and F 606-24 (1)

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City, Bangalore, 30th May 1905.
31

No 466.
Encl:-

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Letter No 466 dated 30th May 1905 from the President, Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

With reference to Correspondence ending with this Office letter No. 356 dated the 22nd. February last I have the honor to submit for the information of Government copy of Memo No. 14167/b dated the 5th. May 1905 received from the Secretary to the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company and to report that a sum of Rs. 900/- has been received from the Madras Railway Company as lump sum payment on account of Lighting and Water Rates for the current year (1904-1905). A sum of Rs. 4235-4-4 is still due from the Company on account of arrears of Lighting and Water Rates and excess water charges for which I have written to them on 11th. May 1905.

2. As regards House-tax, the Company objects to pay this, stating that it is not liable on the ground that the Bangalore City Railway Station is not under the jurisdiction of the City Municipality. The objection of the Company is based on letter No. 1391 dated the 14th. March 1904 from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore to the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company (copy enclosed), in which it is stated that the Mysore Durbar published a Notification on the 3rd April 1893, ceded to the British Government full and exclusive jurisdiction over the lands occupied by the Mysore State Railway

(48)

Railway including the Bangalore Railway Station. the laws of the Mysore State including the Bangalore Town Municipal Regulations of 1871 are not in force in the said Railway Station. Though territorial jurisdiction over the Bangalore City Railway Station may have been ceded to the British Government for general administrative purposes, I do not think it fair to extend this to Municipal Administration, in which the Bangalore City Railway Station is virtually included. The Railway Company with all its Officers and Servants in the City has been enjoying the benefits of citizenship, making use of the City roads and pleasure places and obtaining the necessaries and comforts of life from the City shops and markets. On account of the heavy traffic which the Railway Company draws from the City enabling it to make large profits, the roads are constantly cut up and have to be maintained at a heavy cost.

3. As per section 64 of the Bangalore Municipal Regulations, House-tax is imposed for the general purposes of the Regulations other than lighting and water supply and though the Municipal Regulations are not in force in the City Station the fact is certain that the Railway Company has been enjoying the benefits of Municipal Administration enumerated above and other than lighting and water supply and cannot do without them. It is therefore nothing but fair that the Company should contribute its quota for the benefits so derived.

4. The Madras Railway Company has withheld payment of House-tax from the year 1902-1903 amounting to Rs. 2644-6-0 for three years. I beg that the Government may kindly move the Honorable the British Resident to convince the Company of the reasonableness of the demand which has so long been paid without demur.

5

(49)

5. Copy of Board's resolution is herewith submitted for information of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

A. S. Nagarkar

President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City.

B.V

202

(50) Enclosure (1) to letter No. [illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF [illegible]

Letter No. M/14167 b dated 5/8th May 1905 from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, Madras, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, through the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 2037 dated 4-4-1905, I have the honor to inform you that the Railway have already accepted proposal (a) for a lump sum payment of Rs.900/- per annum for water and lighting for a period of 10 years, and proposal (b) for the payment of Rs.15/- per mensem on account of conservancy. As regards proposal (c) as to the continuation of payment of house-tax I would beg to refer you to your letter No. 1321 dated 14th March 1904 which has apparently been overlooked.

I have &c.,
Sd. H.Bouham Carter,
Agent & Manager

(True copy)

No. M/14167 b dated 9-5-1905.

The Chairman, Bangalore City Municipality, for information.

Sd. Alfred Blake,
for Secy. to Agent and Manager.

Enclosure (2) to letter No.

Letter No. 1321 dated 23rd March 1904 from [illegible], Esqr., I.C.S., First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, Thro' the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.

203

Sir,

I am desired to invite a reference to my letter No. 2 05 dated 11-6-1903, regarding the lighting tax paid to the Bangalore City Municipality by the Madras Railway Company.

2. The Mysore Durbar having by a Notification dated the 3rd April 1893. ceded to the British Government full territorial jurisdiction over the lands occupied by the Mysore State Railway including the Bangalore Railway Station the laws of the Mysore State including the Bangalore Town Municipal Regulations of 1871, are not in force in the said Railway Station. On the contrary, under Notification of the Government of India in the Foreign Department No. 507 I, dated the 6th February 1896, the only laws that are in operation in the City Station are those for the time being in force in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

3. It would therefore seem that the Railway buildings in the City Station are not entitled to the benefits of Municipal Administration under the Bangalore Municipal Regulations of 1871 or any other law of the Mysore State and that the City Municipality will be within their legal rights if they demur to supplying water or performing other service in future to the Railway Company in the City Station.

4. At the same time the Resident recognizes that considering the isolated position of the City Station the local Municipality may fairly be expected to continue to the Railway Company on payment the benefits of water supply, lighting and conservancy, but as such services are not covered by any legal sanction Sir James Bourdillon considers that their performance and the remuneration therefor should be settled by a special agreement between the Railway Company and the City Municipal Commission.

5. On a representation of this peculiar position the Durbar have agreed to enter into some such agreement, and are willing to depute the President of the City Municipal Commission to meet some responsible Officer of the Railway Company at Bangalore to settle the terms of the agreement by personal discussion. If the Railway Company is prepared to follow the course I am to enquire what Officer will be deputed for the purpose and

(E. 2)

when he may be expected to meet the Municipal President. Sir James Bourdillon will be glad to attend this meeting, if desired and to give what assistance he can in securing an equitable settlement of the points at issue.

I have &c.,
Sd. R.C.H.M.King,
1st Assistant Resident.

Enclosure (3) to letter No. —

N o t i f i c a t i o n.

The 3rd April 1893.

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to transfer to the Governor General in Council, with effect from 1st July 1893, full jurisdiction on all lands occupied by the Mysore State Rail-way, from and inclusive of the Harihar Railway Station to and inclusive of the Bangalore Railway Station and from and inclusive of the Yeswanthpur junction Railway Station to the frontier of the State on the Bangalore Hindupur section of the Mysore State Railway including lands occupied as stations, out-buildings and their appurtenances.

By Order,
Sd/ K.Shoshadri Iyer,
Dewan of Mysore.

Enclosure (4) to letter No. —

Extract from the Proceedings of the Bangalore City Municipal Board dated the 10th. May 1903.

x x

Memo No. M/14167 b dated 8th. May 1905 from the Secretary to the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, forwarding for information copy of his letter of that date to the 1st

Assistant President in respect about the non-liabili the Corporation to pay house-tax to the Municipality and referring him to his letter No 1321 dated 14th March 1904 in which it is laid down that since the City Railway Station is not under the jurisdiction of the City Municipality, the Railway Company is not liable to pay house-tax to the Municipality

Resolved that the President be empowered to represent to Government the various reasons under which the Municipality expect a fair contribution in lieu of House-tax from the Railway Company

(Sd) A Nagarkar, President

COPIES'

A Nagarkar
President

206

B.M 109] D8420 2nd F 605-04 8

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No 473 City, Bangalore, 6th June 1905

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings no 11042 MI 210-1893 dated the 31st Ultimo, forwarding, for information and remarks of the Board, copies of communications between the First Assistant Resident and the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company regarding the Company's liability to pay house-tax, I have the honor to invite and reference to my letter No 466 dated the 31st idem, stating the circumstances under which the Municipality is fairly entitled to the contribution from the Company by way of house-tax towards the municipal Administration of the City, and await orders of Government thereon

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Rayaker

President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore C

9

G.R S 26]

(57)

File No. 605-
Serial No 57

Diary No of letter replied to 8260

Pol Secret Two 57
Secr.

General and Rev Secretariat
(Numb:)
Dated , the 2 July 1905

To

R C H Morris Esq ICS
Fd Asstt to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore

Date of issue 14. 7. 05.

Signed by B.K. Venkatarangaiya

Lic. x

Adverting to the Correspondence ending with your letter no 2869 dated the 22 May '05 in regard to the taxation of the Madras Railway Company's buildings within the Bangalore City municipal limits, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter no 466 dated the 30th June from the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Commission, urging the

2

bl.
5/7/05

x Letter no. 866 dated 3rd June 05 from the Bangalore City Municipal Commissioner to the Resident.

SUBJECT

23

10

Commissioners claim to continue the
levy of the House-tax as heretofore
on the Company's buildings, and
to state that the said tax im-
posed, ~~within for the first~~
~~time~~ so far back as 1881-'82
is an old levy and not a new
one and was being paid by
the Company without demur for
fully two decades including
several years after the transfer
of the Railway jurisdiction to the
British Government That trans-
fer, it will be noticed, do not
exonerate the Company from
their liability to pay the taxes
they had been paying to the
Municipality prior to the year
1870 (vide Govt of India Notn no
26 dated the 5th April 1893) nor
does it relieve the Municipality,
~~on the other hand~~, of its usual
charges on account of the
necessary maintenance and

List of enclosures
2

C

Copied by

Examined by

204

(56)

11

GRS 27]

ENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet Draft form)

repairs of roads leading to the Railway Station & the quarters occupied by the Railway Officials &c.

In such circumstances I am to request the favor of your kindly ascertaining from the Railway authorities & informing the Board of the grounds of objection on the part of the Company to continue to pay the House tax. I may observe that the arguments adduced in para 4 of your letter No 832 of 20th Feby 1904 apply with equal force to the levy of House tax on Railway buildings by the City Municipality.

I have &c

The lump sum payment recently agreed upon is only in return for the services rendered under Water supply & Lighting Charges & does not affect the Company in any way the House tax which has long been levied for services of quite a different kind.

add recd

7-5-06

recd

7 JUL 05

Secy

11/7

Secy 12/7

Please put the above &c

8/7/1905

4/7/05

11/7/05

209

recd 7-JUL 05

B'M 109]

ME 605-04 12
CR 1025
82(4)

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City, Bangalore, 1st/2nd August 1905.

No 42
Enel one
From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government copies of correspondence ending with the Madras Railway Agent and Manager's No C/11167b dated the 24th Ultimo, forwarding a copy of his letter of that date to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, proposing that the Municipality should levy Water and Lighting Rates from the Company for the years 1900-1901 to 1903-1904 for which they are in arrears, at the lumpsum rate of Rs 900/- a year which has been settled for a period of 10 years, commencing from 1904-05

2 According to the original rate fixed on rental value of buildings, the arrears of Water and Lighting Taxes for the 4 years from 1900-1901 amount to Rs 4,50-4- whereas the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company offers to pay Rs 3134 giving retrospective effect to the above arrangement for which there is no justification whatever and therefore it was not accepted

3150?

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Nagarkar

President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City

Letter No. 3722 dated 1-6-1905 from the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, to the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 3527 dated 11-5-1905, forwarding for payment a bill amounting to Rs.4611-0-4 being the arrears of Water and Lighting Rates due for 4 and 2 years respectively ending with 1903-4, I have the honor to state that the amount therein claimed has since been found to be a mistake and request that you will be so good as to remit Rs.4235-4-4 as per modified bill herein enclosed.

I have etc.,

Sd. A.S.Nagavkar,

President.

Madras Railway Company----------Dr.

to the Bangalore City Municipality.

Years.	Lighting tax	Water Tax.	Charges an account of excess water consumed.	Total.
For 1900-1901	.. .. ..	528-14-8	169-8-0.	698-6-8.
1901-1902	.. .. ..	528-14-8	402-0-0	930-14-8.
1902-1903	176-4-10	528-14-8	535-8-0.	1240-11-6.
1903-1904	176-4-10.	528-14-8	660-0-0.	1365-3-6.
	352-9-8.	2115-10-8.	1767--0-0	4235-4-4.

(Sd) A.S.Nagavkar,

President.

Letter No. M/14167b/6836 dated 15-7-1905 from the Agent & Manager, Madras Railway Company, Madras , to the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3722 dated 1-6-1905 and

4

and its enclosure, I have the honor to state that I am prepared to pay the Municipality for the 4 years 1900-'01 to 1903-'04 at the same rate for Lighting and Water as has been agreed upon for the 10 years ending June 1914, viz , ₹900/- with a free allowance of 900,000 gallons of water per quarter.

2. The sum of ₹900/- referred to appears to be made up in the following proportions --

Lighting----------Rs. 225.

Water---------- " 675. = 900.

The payments to be made should thus be as shewn below and I shall therefore be glad to receive a revised bill accordingly.

1900-'01---	Water	₹675
1901-'02---	do--	₹675.
1902-'03-----	do & Lighting	₹900
1903-'04---	do---do	₹900.
	Total	Rs 3150

I have etc ,

(Sd) J.J. Dinwoodie,

For Agent & Manager

Letter No 155 dated 18-7-1905 from the President, City Municipal Commission, Bangalore, to the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, Madras

Sir,

With reference to your letter No M/141(7b/6838 dated 15-7-1905, I have the honor to state that I fail to see how retrospective effect can be given to a settlement for a definite period of 10 years from 1st July 1904 I request you therefore to be good enough to remit the amount of taxes shown in the bill sent with this office letter No 3722 dated 1st ultimo, viz , ₹4235-4-4, as early as practicable

I have etc ,

(Sd) A.S.Nagavkar,

President

Letter No. M/14167b/7322 dated 24-7-1905 from the Agent & Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No 2057 of 4-4-1905 and my No. M/14167b/615 of 5-5-1905, I have the honor to forward the marginally noted correspondence between the President, Bangalore City Municipality, and myself as to what payment, if any, the Railway Company should make to the Bangalore City Municipality for Water and Lighting services rendered prior to the period for which orders have been passed vide--your letter above quoted

1 Letter No.3722 of 1-6-1905 from the President to the Agent & Manager

2 Letter No M/14167b/6836 of 15-6-1905 from Agent & Manager to the President

3 Letter No. 155 of 18-7-1905 from the President to the Agent & Manager

2 I have no objection to paying the amounts offered in my letter No 2 referred to 2 in the margin of para 1 above, but consider that any sums in excess of these for the periods mentioned should not be levied in view of the fact that the quantity of water supplied during those years did not exceed the quarterly allowance of 900,000 gallons agreed to for the next 10 years for the sum of Rs 900 per annum

3 Your latest orders gave no decision as regards payment for back periods but seeing that services have been rendered hither to by the Municipality to the Railway, I am not disposed to raise objections to a fair payment for the same

I have &c,

(Sd) C J Greene,

For Agent & Manager

No.M/14167b

The President, Bangalore Municipality, for information with reference to his letter No 155 dated 18- -0

24th July 1905

(Sd) C J Greene, Agent & Manager

'C O P I E S'

A Wagenaar

G.R.S 28]

File No 625-1/02
Serial No. 5

Diary No of letter replied to 6025

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Mil)

READ.—

dated , the 6th Aug: 1905

Letter no. 42 dated the 1st August 1905 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, reporting for the information of Govt. the

Date of issue 18.8.05

Signed by

ORDER—No.

proposal of the Agent and Manager of the Madras Rly Company to pay the arrears of lighting and water taxes for the years 1900-01 to 1903-02, at the lump sum rate of Rs 900/- a year recently fixed

on the Company's buildings within the City Municipal limits

SUBJECT

settled for a period of ten years commencing from 1902-03 was not accepted by him.

Order thereon 1714 & 1715 of 05

It is observed that the Company's liability in regard to Lighting and Water charges was in Controversy during the period in question and that it was only recently that that dispute was settled by a compromise Govt do not think that the question need be re-opened on the ground that the Compromise can, strictly speaking, have only prospective effect and cannot be given effect to retrospectively ~~I am had to be retrospectively fixed~~ in such circumstances, Govt

3 Ml 605-62 18

G.R.S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

Consider ~~the question~~ that the President is not right in asking for more for hart years. ~~And there is no~~ ~~for the~~ ~~question~~

Secy 16/8

23 16/8/62

To

The President Mc. Conv.,
Bangalore City

Jt S Ak 17.8

17/8